

Course: ENGLISH1001: First Year Seminar I: 680.1030


Instructor: Ian Jones *



- I learned a lot about film and how to analyze/critique it.
- i really enjoyed this film course as it exposed me to several new avant grande films that i was not aware of.
- · Very productive, well-structured class in which I learned a lot.




- the assignments and the readings we were given were challenging and took a lot of time however we were provided with more than enough help in order to complete them.
- It was challenging at times to watch and read about films that one doesn't typically encounter, but it was very interesting. I feel that I definitely have greater knowledge of film and moving image techniques and the kind of meaning they can create when used.
- I was always really inspired and humbled by the content provided in this class. The readings were all incredibly interesting and all of the films were really great, i felt that both elements of content in the class were actually very informative for my personal art practice. I feel like I know much more what type of writer I am now and the essay prompts were really stimulating. I was able to write at least one essay that I feel was incredibly relevant to the work I'm making. I write a lot about my art, so honing these skills has been incredibly valuable.
- weekly writings and 2 big papers- fair amount of work



- the class discussions although it did not happen very often were very good, most people participated in all of the discussions and we were able to gain new perspectives.
- Pretty productive; it was just that people were very quiet in that class during discussions and participation rate was low... I was one of those that talked most, and that's saying a lot.
- The class (myself included) was incredibly quiet.
- I think that Ian asked really engaging questions and I was always happy to participate in the conversations he was proposing, but the rest of the class really didn't participate in discussions at all, which was really more their responsibility but I almost wish it had been a graded requirement to participate in discussions. I feel like if more students had been held to the expectations to participate everyone's learning would have been more fruitful.
- I found the films and lectures presented were really great, but it felt like a lot of the people in the class were either not interested in the topic or too shy to talk

Course: ENGLISH1001: First Year Seminar I: 680.1030

Instructor: Ian Jones *



[•] the teacher was very good and kind. he gave us a lot of help in all our assignments and was a very fair grader. sometimes the constant film watching could be a bit tiring but apart from that ian was an excellent teacher.

[•] His each and every comments and feedbacks are helpful to develop my essay!

5 - Did the instructor	oster a res	pectful an	d inclusiv	e classroom	environme	nt?						
lan Jones												
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Percent F	Responses			Means	
5 (Very)			(5)	8	66.67%					4.67		
4			(4)	4	33.33%							
3			(3)	0	0%							
2			(2)	0	0%							
1 (Not at all)			(1)	0	0%							
						0	25 5	75	100	Instructor		
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median									
12/15 (80%)	4.67	0.49	5.00									

[•] the environment was extremely respectful between all the students and the teacher.

6 - Instructor Assessment Was the overall structure of the course effective? Ian Jones **Response Option** Weight Frequency **Percent Responses** Means Percent 6 50% 4.50 5 (yes) (5) 6 50% (4)3 0 (3) 0% 2 0 0% (2)1 (no) (1) 0 0% (0) 0 0% 25 50 75 100 Instructor Return Rate Mean STD Median 12/15 (80%) 4.50 0.52 4.50

Patient and supportive, and always gets things done on time.

[•] He seems genuinely excited about what he teaches and is very open to being asked questions and deeply analyzing the material we studied. He always makes sure the students are heard and has a lecture style which is very engaging because it's clear that he cares about what he's talking about. I feel that he also particularly helped me with vocabulary and deepening my understanding of rhetoric and the many different ways it can exist in art and writing.

[•] He gave people that were not saying much chances to participate and no one had side conversations while someone was talking, but he could have been better about laptops and phones. He may be too nice to call people out, and while that's not a bad thing, the two girls texting and surfing the internet while a film was being played was quite distracting.

[•] I was really happy that he provided trigger warnings for the content he was showing. There was nothing i personally found offensive or too intense that we viewed, but it created a safe environment which I think is crucial to learning. The content he showed was also very informed on many important social issues and representative of diverse identities.

Course: ENGLISH1001: First Year Seminar I: 680.1030

Instructor: Ian Jones *

6 - Instructor Assessi	ment											
Were the course mate	erials well o	rganized?	?									
lan Jones												
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Percent F	Responses			Means	
5 (yes)			(5)	10	83.33%					4.83		
4			(4)	2	16.67%							
3			(3)	0	0%							
2			(2)	0	0%	7						
1 (no)			(1)	0	0%							
N/A			(0)	0	0%							
						0 2	25 50	75	100	Instructor		
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median									
12/15 (80%)	4.83	0.39	5.00									

6 - Instructor Assessr													
6 - Instructor Assessi	nent												
Were the comments of	n assignm	ents valua	ble?										
lan Jones													
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Percent I	Responses			Means	;	
5 (yes)			(5)	10	83.33%					4.75			
4			(4)	1	8.33%								
3			(3)	1	8.33%								
2			(2)	0	0%								
1 (no)			(1)	0	0%								
N/A			(0)	0	0%								
			•			0	25 5	0 75	100	Instructor			
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median										
12/15 (80%)	4.75	0.62	5.00										

6 - Instructor Assess	ment												
Were assignments re	turned pron	nptly?											
lan Jones													
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent	1	Percent R	esponses			Means	;	
5 (yes)			(5)	9	75%					4.75			
4			(4)	3	25%		ı						
3			(3)	0	0%								
2			(2)	0	0%								
1 (no)			(1)	0	0%								
N/A			(0)	0	0%								
						0 2	5 50	75	100	Instructor			
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median										
12/15 (80%)	4.75	0.45	5.00							•			

Course: ENGLISH1001: First Year Seminar I: 680.1030

Instructor: Ian Jones *

6 - Instructor Assess	ment												
Was the instructor of	n time?												
lan Jones													
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Percent F	Responses			Means	;	
5 (yes)			(5)	11	91.67%					4.92			
4			(4)	1	8.33%								
3			(3)	0	0%	7							
2			(2)	0	0%	1							
1 (no)			(1)	0	0%	1							
N/A			(0)	0	0%	1							
			•			0	25 50	75	100	Instructor			
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median										
12/15 (80%)	4.92	0.29	5.00										

6 - Instructor Assessr	nent											
Was the instructor ac	cessible?											
lan Jones												
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Percent F	Responses			Means	
5 (yes)			(5)	10	83.33%					4.83		
4			(4)	2	16.67%							
3			(3)	0	0%							
2			(2)	0	0%							
1 (no)			(1)	0	0%							
N/A			(0)	0	0%							
						0 :	25 50	75	100	Instructor		
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median									
12/15 (80%)	4.83	0.39	5.00		·							

7 - Do you l	have additional comments on the instructor?
lan Jones	
• no	

8 - Student Assessme	ent												
I attended class regul	arly												
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Percent I	Respon	ses			Means	
5 (yes)			(5)	7	58.33%						4.50		
4			(4)	4	33.33%								
3			(3)	1	8.33%								
2			(2)	0	0%	1							
1 (no)			(1)	0	0%	7							
						0	25 5	0	75	100	Instructor		
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median										
12/15 (80%)	4.50	0.67	5.00										

Course: ENGLISH1001: First Year Seminar I: 680.1030

Instructor: Ian Jones *

8 - Student Assessme	ent											
I came to class on tim	ie											
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Percent F	Responses			Means	
5 (yes)			(5)	10	83.33%					4.83		
4			(4)	2	16.67%							
3			(3)	0	0%							
2			(2)	0	0%							
1 (no)			(1)	0	0%							
			•			0 2	25 50	75	100	Instructor		
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median									
12/15 (80%)	4.83	0.39	5.00									

8 - Student Assessme	ent													
I came to class prepa	red													
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Percent	Resp	onses			Means	;	
5 (yes)			(5)	8	66.67%						4.67			
4			(4)	4	33.33%									
3			(3)	0	0%									
2			(2)	0	0%									
1 (no)			(1)	0	0%									
						0	25	50	75	100	Instructor			
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median											
12/15 (80%)	4.67	0.49	5.00											

8 - Student Assessme	ent													
I participated in class	discussion	s / critiqu	ies											
Response Option	esponse Option Weight Frequency Percent Percent Responses Means													
5 (yes)			(5)	4	33.33%						4.00			
4			(4)	4	33.33%						4.00			
3			(3)	4	33.33%									
2			(2)	0	0%	7								
1 (no)			(1)	0	0%	7								
			•			0 2	25 5	0	75	100	Instructor			
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median											
12/15 (80%)	4.00	0.85	4.00											

9 - Do you have additional comments on your participation?

• no

• I participated quite frequently to help things move along, because our class was composed of mostly very quiet people who did not participate much.

10 - How much money did you spend on course materials, books, and/or supplies for this class?

- \$0. The teacher provides all the materials such as films, games, and readings on canvas.
- 0
- no
- 0
- Less than \$5, for a notebook.
- \$0
- none, he made sure we had free access to all of the content.
- 0

Course: ENGLISH1001: First Year Seminar I: 680.1030

Instructor: Ian Jones *

11 - Is there anything else that you would like to add?

• nc

• It's a lovely class that requires focus and doing assignments properly.